G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904.
(Nuzvai.)

File No. 57

Serial Nos. 1—10.

Papers Filed.

Month *May 1905.*

SUBJECT.

Taking over under Government management the temple of Mahalingeswara and its inam at Hiriyur in the T. Narasipur

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES.

Ind 502-12

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

OFFICE NOTE.

File No

SUBJECT.

Inre taking over under Govt. Management, the temple of Mahalingeswara & its inam at Hiriyur in the T. Narasipura

From

The Dy Commr., Mysore Dist & the Inam Superintt in Mysore

refce :-

No. 73 Dated 22nd January 1905.

old Rts No 180 Present Sur: no 6.

K H D 0-3 4½ Assessment P 7 V 0-6 0

1. C. 6 28 Assessment 3-8-0

(Flag R) During the Inam Settlement in 879, the marginally noted inam lands were confirmed as "Devadaya" to the temples of Veerabhadra and Basava at Hiriyur, late Talkad now T. Narasipura Taluk.

(Flag S) The Dy Commr reports that in the Khatas of the years 1863-64 to 1898-99, land bearing Sur: No 6 & Maji No 179 was in the name of Mahalingeswara Swamy, that somehow or other during the Inam Settlement, the names of Veerabhadra & Basava were entered

MGCPB—500—21 1 05

in the Register instead of Mahalingeswara, that the error was found out and corrected in the Khatwar by the Shanbhogue in 1898-99 in accordance with the old accounts, under orders of the then Amildar Mr. Sundararajiengar, and that there are no inam lands to the temples of Veerabhadra & Basava which are built & maintained by the Patel. As the Patel & the Shanbhogue have both deposed to the effect that the land in question is inam to the Mahalingeswara swami temples, the Dy. Comm. requests that the necessary mutation of names might be ordered. *Vide T

We further report* that — *Vide U

Jammadi Malliah not being able to manage the land, leased it to one

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

Made Gowda on Kayemeatta tenure, that the latter's son has not been paying pitta regularly & that therefore Puja is not being properly conducted He states that as the land is a Devadaya inam, the Tammadi had no right to alienate it without Govt. permission and therefore requests that Govt. may be pleased to order the taking over of the land under its management. Pending the issue of such orders, the Dy Comm! reports that he has directed the Amildar to oust the present occupant of the land & to take possession of it.

Submitted with a draft to issue
7.2.05. 2nd Supt.

9/2/05 Issue the draft 9/2/05

(Note continued)

The Inam Superintendent in reply states that the Inam Settlement is

Muzrai Secretariat. File No of 190

in accordance with the Jari account of 1831-32 but as apparently some mistake exists he sees no objection to a transfer of names calculated to represent present enjoyment.

Submitted with two drafts to the Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

Ks
13/4/05

Thanks and same Rao
14/4/05

309
15.4-05.

Dr sd for my kind approval.
17/4/05

Rd
17-4-05

6096
24.4.05

Dft approved by the Dewan -

Rd
5/5/05

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
107
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

190

From

To

He

9.7.04

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No

No.

Enclosure No.

Heriyur Devarajmalak,
under Govt management.

MGCPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Muzrai Department

Dated Mysore 7 July 1904.

To

L. Ananthasami Rao Esq, B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore in the Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the marginally noted land, endowed to the temple of Malalingeswara at Hiriyur in the T. Narasipur Taluk, is a Devadayam. Timmari Malliya, not being able to manage the land, leased it to one Madé gowda on Kayamgutta tenure. The latter's son Veerathappa has not been laying the gutta properly. Thus the pooja is not regularly being conducted.

2. The Amildar in his report No 695 dated 6th May 1904, states that unless the land is taken under Government management, the pooja will not be performed punctually.

Survey No 6 4 G 3 — 28 Hoblé 3 Lo — Hoblé, T. Narasipur Taluk.
in the village of Hiriyur, Kasaba

The land being a Devadaya Inam, the Jammadi had no right to alienate it without the permission of the Government.

3. I therefore request that Government may be pleased to issue orders to take the land under its management. In the meantime, the Amildar has been directed to oust the present occupant and take possession of the Inam lands in question.

4. The entire correspondence on the subject received with Yadi No 1477 dated 27th June 1904 from the Nanjangud Division officer is here-with submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
~~Deputy~~ Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlement
Mysore

Dated
Despatched 19/7/04
Received

General No. 332
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.—

Has the honor to request to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Regr. ~~pertaining~~ relating to the grant of the inam lands noted in the margin to the Mahalingeswara temple at Kirigur in the T. Narasipur taluk.

By order

17/7/04

Sec

16/7/04

Dft.

Ext. Assessment
3 to 28. R 3-8-0.
Sir: No.
In the Village of Kirigur, Kasba Hobli. T. Narasipur Taluk

MGCPB—1000—21—1 01

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
343

1904

From

The Superintendent of the
State Archives Mysore

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 26th
Despatched 27th } July
Received
General No. 16

No.

Enclosure No.

Has docketed No. 332 of the 19th July 1904, requesting to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Register relating to the grant of Inam lands to the Mahalingeswara temple at Hiriyur in the Turuvakudu Narasipur Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honor to state that there are no inam lands in Hiriyur village endowed to the Mahalingeswara

The Secretary
Madras

Dated August 1904
Despatched 4th
Received
Gen'l No. 601
Mil'y No.

Rec'd No. 12716

Adverting to the
letter No 6 dy 7th Jan 1904
regarding the inam lands
endowed to the Thathinga
temple at Kiranur in the
T. Narasipuram Taluk has
the honour to forward
herewith the copy of Docket
No 16 dy 26th Jan 1904 from
the Superintendent of

MG.PL—'01 21 '01

main settlements in Mysore,
and to request that
correct information of
the location of the main
may be furnished hereinbelow
Govt. to get the required
extract of the inam Regr.
pertaining to the grant
in view to final orders
being passed on the Dy
Commrs recommn. to assume
management of the Temple
Hiksendowments. The favour
of a very early report is requested.

2. The enclos to the letter under reply
are herewith forwarded for report & return
with the info called for above By order

21/8/04

21/8/04 Draft Le



Hc 2104

1904-05

From M Kantaraj Urs Esq BA
Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L Anantarama Rao Esq BA
Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

Dated 3rd March 1904

Despatched

Received

General No 166
No.

Enclosure No. One file

Forwarding certain information re the Inam lands endowed by the Maha-Linga Swami temple at Kirigur in the T. Narsipur Taluk

Ps
5/12/04
Papers
with a draft to
Inam Superintt
14-12-04

MGGPB—50 reams—6-12-02.

C Mysore

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Mysore District
Inzrai Department.
Dated Mysore 3d December 1904.

To.
L. Anantasami Rao Esq, BA
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore in the Inzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No 601 dated 3rd/4th August 1904 requesting correct information re the Inam lands endowed to the Mallalingeswara Swami temple at Hiriyur in the T. Narsipur Taluk, I have the honor to state that in the Khatas of the years from 1863-64 to 1898-99, the land bearing Survey No 6 and maje No 179 appears to be in the name of Mallalingeswara Swami Some how or other in the Inam Settlement register the names of Veerabhadra and Basaveswara have been entered instead of Mallalingeswara Swami which was found out and corrected in the Khatwan by the Shanbhogue

in

in the year 1898–99 in accordance with the old accounts as checked by the then Amildar Mr. Chandrasekharayya. There are no Inam lands to the temple of Veerabhadra and Basaveswara both of which are built by the Patel and maintained by him.

The villagers, Patel and Shanbhogue have deposed before the Amildar to the effect that the land in question is an Inam endowed to the Mahalingeshwara Swami temple.

Therefore it is requested that Government may be pleased to order the name of Mahalingeswara be substituted in place of Veerabhadra and Basaveswara and to take the lands under Government management.

The entire correspondence ending with the Nanjangud Division Officer's Yadi No. 496 dated 1st October 1901 is herewith submitted

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Commissioner
Mysore District

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent of Inam Settlements &c in Mysore

Dated 18th Dec 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. 2282

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. /

Adverting to his docket No 116 of 26th ult, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No 1061 of 3rd Dec 1906 from the Dy Comr Mysore furnishing information regarding the Inam lands endowed to the Mahalingeswaraswamy temple at Hiriyur in the T. Narasipur taluk, & to request that the extract of the Inam Regr called from this office Docket No 832 of 19th ult may be

MAG.C.P.R.—1,000—5-10-04.

furnished for reference.

By order -

14/12/04

Secy. Mus: Dept.

He

3/2/05

1905 2804

From
The Superintendent of Inams
in Mysore

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Political Department

Dated 22nd
Despatched 25th } January
Received
General No.
No. 73

Enclosure No. One

25/1/05
Mr Copeland
Subd with the note for orders
RF
27/1/05

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Inam Lands to Veera-bhadra and Basava at Hirayur Village, late Talakadu now Tirumakudlu Narasipura Taluk, Mysore District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
humble servant
Superintendent
of Inams in Mysore

Register sheet No 15

Taluk - Talakad

Hobli - Narasipura

Village - Hiriyur

Copy of the Inam Register

Register ~~of Inams~~ in the village of Hiriyoor in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent: Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent: Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
(Title Deed No 10)		Huzur jari Inam account of 1831/32 C. N. P Krishnaraj		
	evadaya	Dry No 180	a - 3 - 4½	

Title Deed No 509 3
Date of presn 1-4 8
Date of issue
To Taluk

Sammat of Narsapura in the Taluk of Jalakad.

DESCRIPTION, &c.			
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of ..., or liable to quit ... year ..., and if latter to be fixed
6	7	8	
P. F. V. Rs. as. p a—6—a 1—11—11	—	For the support of the Temples of Viranabhadra and Bavana which are said to be in good Condition.	Free

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession.
By whom granted and in what year	In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL [illegible] AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Pocnmah up to 1810	Name entered in the account subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Huzur jamu Inam account 1804/5 Nil	Huzur jamu Inam account 1831/32 Pg 9 Virabhadra and Basava. C K P. 7-7-V Dry u-3-4½ u-6-u Do 1855 P. Rs 12 Virabhadradevar C.K.P P-7-V Dry u-3-4½ u-6-u Rs-a-P 1-11-4

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns			
Residence, name and age, &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18

Heriyoor
Veerabhadra
&
Basava
Managers protem

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the special [illegible] by the [illegible]
19	0

Devadaya.

1- Whole grant Dewar jari.

2. As per Register No. II.

2 more Temples are added in addition to the two in Column 15, viz: Pathales-wara and Moolasthanes-wara. But to this addition there is no reference.

3. To be confirmed to the Temples in Column 15 free under Rule V.

4: Huzur jari account 1831 adopted.

[Signed] B. Rajagopalaiah
Assistant
3-7-79

/ Confirmed free /

/ Signed / Robt. Cole
Supt. of Inam Settlt.
17-9-79

Copy

Supt. of Inam Settlt.

Compd.

Inam Supt. Office
dated 22nd January 1904.
257

To

3020 English
18. 2. 1905

The Secretary of
Inam Settlements in
Mysore

Sir,

I am directed
to acknowledge the receipt
of your docket no 73/2804
dated 25th January 1905,
forwarding an Extract
of the Inam Rules per-
taining to the grant
of Inam lands to certain
temples at Hiriyur
village in the late Talkad
now Nanjangud Taluk
From the Extract it is
observed that, at the
Inam

Inam at Settlement of
1879 the lands in question
were confirmed to the
temples of Varadharaja
and Parasara in the said
village, but the Dy
Comr of the Mysore District
in his letter no 166 dated
3rd December 1904 (a
copy of which was sent
~~sent~~ to you with this
Office Docket no 2282
dated 18th inst) states
that, "some how or other
the names of the two
temples in question were
~~were~~ entered in the
Inam Settlement Regr
instead of Varadarajaswamy
Swami This mistake
the

the Dy Comr says
was found out and
corrected in the Khetwar
Account in 1898–99,
in accordance with the
old accounts, and
Thurston recommends
the substitution of
Mahalingaswaraswami
in the place of Veerabhadra
and Basavaiyaswami —

2. I am to request
the favour of a
report from you,
explaining the
circumstances under
which the mistake arose
at the time of the
Inam settlement, —
with your opinion in
the matter of the

proposed transfer –

3 The Commander

records received with

the Brig Comr's letter

under reply are herewith

sent for reference and

resubmission –

9 June 05

Adl-

7/2/05

Secretary to Board

Navigation Dept

9/2/05

No
2/3/05
Mf

PF Accounts
Mf

No. 1
1906

[illegible] Straits Settlements
[illegible] Report
1904-5

3250

The Secretary to the
Government of Nigeria.
Northern Protectorate.

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No. 3020 of the 14th February 1905, I
have the honor to state that the
Straits Settlement is in accordance
with the final account of 1931-3
but as apparently some mistake
exists, I see no objection to a re-
transfer of sums calculated to
represent present expenditure.

2. I

2. The enclosures of the letter under reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

C. D. Smith

Supt of Indn Affrs.

Mysore

Read. Correspondence ending with Letter no 166 dt 3rd Dec 1904, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt, recommending that the Inam lands endowed to the Mahalingeswaraswami Temple at Heriyur in the T Narsipur Taluk may be taken over under Govt management.

And, Letter no 96 dated 28th February 1905, from the Superintendent of Inam settlements in Mysore

---o---

No. 4131-2
28.5.05.

Order thereon.-No.

From the records it is observed that during the Inam settlement in 1879, the marginally noted Inam lands were Confirmed as "Devadaya" to the temples of Veerabhadra and Basava at Heriyur in the late Talkad (now T Narsipur) Taluk. The Dy: Commr

Addry -	V-K P	Assessed	P F C	
no 180	0-3-4½	0-6-0		
Present Survno	A 6	G 28	Assessed	3-8-0

6318
28.5.05

Received 25/5/05

25/5/05

reports that in the Khates of the years 1863-64 to 1898-99, land bearing Sur: no 6 and Maji no 179 was in the name of ~ Mahalingeswaraswami, that somehow or other during the Inam settlement, the names of Veerabhadra and Basava were entered in the Register instead of Maha- -lingeswara, that the error was found out and corrected in the Khetwar by the Shanbhogue in 1898-99 in accordance with the old accounts (under orders of the Amildar Rao Sundararajaiyar) and that there are no inam lands to the temples of Veerabhadra & Basava which were built and ~ maintained by the Patel. As the Patel and the Shanbhogue have both deposed to the effect that the land in question is Inam to the Mahalingeswara- -swami temple, the Dy: Commr

requests that the necessary
Mutation of names might
be ordered -
2 The Inam Supt.
who was asked to furnish
his opinion in the matter
states that the Inam
settlement is in accordance
with the Jari account
of 1831-32 but as
apparently some mistake
exists, there is no objection
to a transfer of names
calculated to represent
present enjoyment -
3 Under the above
circumstances, the
necessary transfer of the
names of the temples is
sanctioned -

4 - As regards taking over
under Govt. management
the lands which are reported
to have been alienated
by the Temple Pujaris
separate orders will be passed

on

receipt of a detailed report
called for from the Dy: Commr: of
in a separate
Communication -

Secretary

13/4/05

To
The Deputy Commr
Hassan Mysore Dt:
- Asst. Superintendent
in Mysore -

To

The Dy: Commissioner
Mysore Dt

His Letter no 166 dt 3rd December 1904 recommending that the Inam lands endowed to the Mahalingeswaraswami temple at Hiriyur in the T. Narsipur Taluk may be taken over under Govt management.

"

In continuation of Govt Pro: no 4131-2 dt has the honor to request that a detailed report furnishing the following information may be submitted to this office: —

1) Are the Pujaries of the Mahalingeeswaraswami

No 4133
78-5-05
6318
5/7/05

Received
5/7/05

Issued
5/7/05

temple & Veerabhadra &
Basava temples to different
persons

2- If Jammadi Malliah
has alienated the land
why according to clause 5
of the alienation Rules of 1877 published in page 215 of
~~should~~ he ~~be~~ 2nd not be removed
from the Office of Perjari
& ans the man appointed
in his stead.

The Mysore Revenue
Manual Part-I.

By order

Secretary

13/4/05